

# South Carolina Law Review

---

Volume 57  
Issue 3 *ANNUAL SURVEY OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
LAW*

---

Article 2

Spring 2006

## Table of Contents

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/sclr>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

(2006) "Table of Contents," *South Carolina Law Review*. Vol. 57 : Iss. 3 , Article 2.  
Available at: <https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/sclr/vol57/iss3/2>

This Article is brought to you by the Law Reviews and Journals at Scholar Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in South Carolina Law Review by an authorized editor of Scholar Commons. For more information, please contact [dillarda@mailbox.sc.edu](mailto:dillarda@mailbox.sc.edu).

et al.: Table of Contents

# **SOUTH CAROLINA LAW REVIEW**

---

VOLUME 57

SPRING 2006

NUMBER 3

---

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

### **BUSINESS LAW**

THE SOUTH CAROLINA UNIFORM SECURITIES ACT OF 2005: A BALANCING ACT UNDER A NEW BLUE SKY .....	409
LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANIES ARE OFF AND RUNNING: <i>HISTORIC CHARLESTON HOLDINGS, LLC V. MALLON</i> , ACCOUNTINGS, AND DERIVATIVE ACTIONS IN LLC LITIGATION .....	441

### **CIVIL PROCEDURE**

A ONE-TWO PUNCH TO FORUM SHOPPING: RECENT JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE AMENDMENTS TO SOUTH CAROLINA'S CORPORATE VENUE JURISPRUDENCE .....	465
--	-----

### **CONSTITUTIONAL LAW**

PROTECTING SPEECH V. PROTECTING CHILDREN: AN EXAMINATION OF THE JUDICIAL REFUSAL TO ALLOW LEGISLATIVE ACTION IN THE REALM OF MINORS AND INTERNET PORNOGRAPHY .....	489
<i>KELO</i> IN SOUTH CAROLINA?: ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT IS NOT A PUBLIC USE FOR PURPOSES OF EMINENT DOMAIN IN SOUTH CAROLINA .....	505

### **PROBATE LAW**

<i>HOWARD V. NASSER</i> : THE RELATIVE BURDENS FOR WILLS CONTESTED ON THE BASIS OF CONFIDENTIAL RELATIONSHIPS AND UNDUE INFLUENCE .....	531
--	-----

### **PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY**

MULTIJURISDICTIONAL PRACTICE OF LAW UNDER THE REVISED SOUTH CAROLINA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT .....	549
---	-----

### **REAL ESTATE LAW**

<i>SLACK V. JAMES</i> : CAN SOUTH CAROLINA'S REAL ESTATE INDUSTRY RELY ON NON- RELiance CLAUSES? .....	583
---	-----

**TORT LAW**

DAMAGING THE SPECIAL INJURY RULE: A RESTRICTIVE HOLDING IN <i>OVERCASH V. SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC &amp; GAS CO.</i> . . . . .	601
TORT RECOVERY FOR DEFECTIVE PRODUCTS POSING A THREAT OF BODILY HARM: AN EXCEPTION TO THE ECONOMIC LOSS RULE? . . . . .	619
SOUTH CAROLINA TORT LAW: FOR WHOM THE STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS TOLLS—THE <i>EPSTEIN</i> COURT’S REJECTION OF THE CONTINUOUS REPRESENTATION RULE . . . . .	643